



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/765,960	01/19/2001	Mitsukazu Momosaki	ALPHA 3.0-001	9159	
7590 01/14/2004			EXAMINER		
WEINGRAM	& ASSOCIATES, P.C.	PRONE, JASON D			
P.O. BOX 927	•				
197 W. Spring	Valley Avenue	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Maywood, NJ 07607			3724		
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/200		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4					
•		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/765,9	60	MOMOSAKI, MITS	MOMOSAKI, MITSUKAZU			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Jason Pr	one	3724				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	n appears on the	e cover sheet with	h the correspondence ad	dress			
THE - External after of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI risions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cr SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicative period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no evon. , a reply within the state period will apply and we statute, cause the approximation.	vent, however, may a rep tutory minimum of thirty vill expire SIX (6) MONT plication to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timel HS from the mailing date of this condoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	03 November 2	<u>:003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is no	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>6-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
. —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>6-17</u> is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
·	The specification is objected to by the Exa							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		•					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to							
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. No	ote the attached	Office Action or form Pi	O-152.			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
* \$ 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docure. 2. Certified copies of the priority docure. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Besee the attached detailed Office action for a cknowledgment is made of a claim for dorince a specific reference was included in the Topic Translation of the foreign language. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dorest contains a contained and a claim for dorest contained and a claim for	ments have bee ments have bee priority docume ureau (PCT Rul a list of the certi mestic priority une first sentence the provisional ap- mestic priority une	en received. en received in Apents have been rele 17.2(a)). ified copies not render 35 U.S.C. § e of the specification has been der 35 U.S.C. §	plication No eceived in this National eceived. 119(e) (to a provisional tion or in an Application en received. § 120 and/or 121 since	application) Data Sheet. a specific			
re	eference was included in the first sentence	or the specifica	mon or in an App	nication Data Sheet. 37	UFR 1./0.			
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N			mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(sormal Patent Application (PTC .				

Application/Control Number: 09/765,960

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 6-12, 14, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carmody.

Carmody discloses the same invention including a cutter body (Fig. 1) including upper (A) and lower handles (B) having a pivot (e), that the lower handle has an upper blade end extending beyond the pivot (C) and having an arcuate first blade edge secured thereto (c³), that the upper handle has a lower blade end extending beyond the pivot and opposing the upper blade end (D), that the lower blade end has an arcuate second blade edge secured thereto (d³), that the handles and blade ends are pivotable about the pivot to provide opposing movement between an open an closed position (Figs 2 and 2ª), that the first and second blade edges are disposed in mutually opposing positions (Fig. 2ª) and the space between the first and second blade edges varies along the length when the cutting edges are in the closed position for cutting (Fig. 2ª), that the variation in the space between the first and second blade edges provide greatest pressure at the contact edges of the first and second blade edges (Fig. 2ª), a sheet of brittle material disposed between the first and second blade edges as the first and second blade edges are brought to a close (n), that the first and second blade edges

Application/Control Number: 09/765,960

Art Unit: 3724

each have outer and inner portions (Fig. 2) and the distance between the opposing outer portions is less than the distance between the opposing inner portions (Fig. 2^a), that the outer portions of the opposing blade ends are curved (C and D) for cutting curves into the sheets of brittle material (Fig. 6), a return spring connected between the upper and lower handles (Fig. 1), a stop means connected between the upper and lower handles for maintaining the handles in a open position (Fig. 1), that the opposing blades edges are disposed to be perpendicular to the surface of brittle material (Fig. 2^a), that one of the blade edges has an angled inner surface providing a sharp edge (d³), that the first and second blade edges each contain a portion parallel to the axis of the pivot (Fig. 2^a), and that the arcuate curved first and second blade edges are convex (Fig. 5)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carmody in view of Berg. Carmody discloses the invention but fails to disclose a guide secured to the lower blade end. Berg teaches of a guide (18) secured to the lower blade end (Fig. 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Carmody with a guide, as taught by Berg, to allow for a more precise cut.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/765,960

Art Unit: 3724

5. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carmody in view of Price. Carmody discloses the invention but fails to disclose that the curved first and second blade edges are concave. Price teaches curved first and second blade edges that are concave (Fig. 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Carmody with concave blade edges, as taught by Price, to cut an outer curve.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Herrmann.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Prone whose telephone number is 703-605-4287. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Mon (every other) Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Application/Control Number: 09/765,960

Art Unit: 3724

JΡ

January 8, 2004

Allan M. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700